STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CAPITAL OUTLAY

BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)

(REV 06/15) **COVER PAGE**

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

915 L Street

Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2016-17

BUSINESS UNIT: 3790

COBCP NO: 3

PRIORITY:3

PROJECT ID:

CCCI:

0000694

DEPARTMENT:

Department of Parks and Recreation

PROJECT TITLE:

Gaviota SP: Main Water Supply Upgrades

TOTAL REQUEST (Dollars in Thousands)

\$142

MAJOR/MINOR:

MA

PHASE(S) TO BE FUNDED:

W

PROJ CAT:

CRI

6069

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

The California Department of Parks and Recreation (Department) requests \$142,000 for the working drawing phase of this continuing project from available Proposition 84 funds (Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006). This project will develop water supply facilities for the southern portion of the Gaviota State Park to provide a consistent water supply for the public, staff, and fire suppression, to ensure the health and safety of park occupants and avoid significant annual repair costs and intermittent water supply outages. The project includes a new well and water treatment facility or upgrading the existing water supply line, as appropriate.

HAS A BUDGET PACKAGE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS PROJECT? Ν

REQUIRES LEGISLATION? Ν IF YES, LIST CODE SECTIONS:

REQUIRES PROVISIONAL LANGUAGE?

IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET: ONE-TIME COSTS:

Ν

Υ

FUTURE COSTS:

Y Ν

FUTURE SAVINGS:

Υ **REVENUE:**

DOES PROPOSAL AFFECT ANOTHER DEPARTMENT? IF YES, ATTACH COMMENTS

OF AFFECTED DEPARTMENT SIGNED BY ITS DIRECTOR/DESIGNEE.

SI	GN.	ΑT	UR	Ε	AΡ	PR	O \	/A	LS
				_	. 1				

1-4-16 RTMENT DIRECTOR DATE SECRETARY DATE

DOF ANALYST USE

DOF ISSUE # PROGRAM CAT: PROJECT CAT: **BUDG PACK STATUS:** ADDED REVIEW: SUPPORT: OCIU: __ FSCU/ITCU:___ OSAE:___ CALSTARS:

Original Signed By:

Andrea Scharffer PPBA:

DATE SUBMITTED TO LEGISLATURE: 1-7-14

BUSINESS UNIT: 3790 COBCP NO: 3 PRIORITY: 3 PROJECT ID: 0000694

A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT

Gaviota State Park, located 30 miles west of Santa Barbara off Highway 101, encompasses a wide variety of visitor uses, as well as significant natural and cultural resources. On the coast, visitors can enjoy the beach, camping, fishing from the shore or pier, and boating. The Gaviota pier is equipped with a popular boat hoist. Offshore is one of the State's rare marine conservation areas. Visually, the most prominent feature of the coastal area is the 811-foot, wood railroad trestle over Gaviota Creek. Opened in 1900, the Southern Pacific completed the last remaining section of its coastal route train service between San Francisco and Los Angeles. Inland, a variety of elevations, soils, and topography combine to form a number of different habitats such as oak woodlands, grasslands, chaparral, coastal sage scrub, and riparian and freshwater marshes. In addition, cultural resources are located throughout the park including numerous significant archaeological sites and the National Register-eligible Las Cruces Adobe, originally constructed in the mid 1800's.

Much of Gaviota State Park's infrastructure, especially its potable water system, suffers from age, deterioration and difficult access. The water system originally consisted of two wells and two treatment systems, one in the north and one in the south of the park. Operations were subsequently consolidated and the treatment facilities were upgraded in the northern part of the park near Las Cruces, with a water supply line installed in the center median of U.S. Highway 101 to service the southern half of the park, as well as the adjacent the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) rest area on Highway 101. After many years of service, this water supply line has been experiencing breaks on a regular and increasing basis (up to several times a year), leaving the southern half of the park with limited water supply, affecting sanitation facilities and fire suppression capabilities. Each time the water line breaks, the campground and day-use areas are left without water (once storage tanks are depleted in about 4 to 5 days) until the repairs are made, usually about 2 to 3 weeks. The lack of available water requires the use of rented, portable restrooms, resulting in additional costs and lessthan-ideal sanitary conditions. In addition to these operational challenges, repairing the line has been costly - up to \$150,000 in the last 3 years alone. The costs to continually repair the water line are expected to increase over time. Furthermore, repairs typically require lane closures on the highway in order to perform the work safely. This is not only costly for the State, but an inconvenience for the public. This project is needed to provide a consistent, reliable source of water for the southern half of the park and reduce (or eliminate) costly repairs and other negative impacts.

Provisional Language:

Provisional language is requested making these program funds available for encumbrance for two years, rather than one year, due to the following factors:

This Department project is located on the coast (requiring a coastal permit) and is in
places of natural and cultural resource sensitivity. This results in longer than average
time requirements for design, permitting, environmental compliance and construction.

B. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN

The mission of the Department is to provide for the health, inspiration, and education of the people of California by helping to preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources and creating opportunities for high-quality outdoor recreation.

This project furthers the California State Parks Strategic Action Plan 2013-14 of the Department's mission by contributing to the following goals:

- Restore public trust and accountability.
 Repairing this water system will allow the park to meet visitor needs and will no longer inconvenience the public through lack of water, less than sanitary facilities and lane closures on the highway. The Department will no longer have to spend thousands of dollars on short-term repairs to a failing system.
- <u>Protect and preserve resources and facilities in the existing State Parks System.</u>
 This project will repair the existing water system supplying water to facilities in the south area of the park.
- Maintain the cleanest park facilities and restrooms in the country.
 During times the current system fails and water is not available in the southern campgrounds and day use areas, sanitary conditions are affected and it is necessary to bring in rented portable restrooms.

C. ALTERNATIVES

The following alternative solutions were considered to address the identified deficiencies:

Alternative 1: Provide alternate water supply for southern half of the park (this project). This alternative will provide an alternate water source in the southern half of the park, as well as a treatment and delivery system to existing storage tanks. This alternative meets the needs of the project, has the benefit of removing the water supply line from Caltrans property and the median of Highway 101, but will require additional effort to operate another treatment system. The Department already has three treatment systems in the Channel Coast District area so the expertise currently exists in the Department to perform the work.

Alternative 2: Replace main water supply line in place. This project includes removing and replacing the existing water supply line in the Highway 101 median with a new, upgraded line. This alternative will be pursued if Alternative 1 is found to be infeasible. This alternative meets the needs of the project to provide a reliable water supply to the southern half of the park for public and staff use, and fire suppression and to reduce ongoing repair costs. However, this alternative leaves the main water line in the median of the State Highway which makes future repairs more costly.

Alternative 3: <u>Install additional water storage tanks.</u> This alternative does not replace the failing water supply line in the median of Highway 101, but would provide additional storage capacity to reduce the length of water outages. Under this alternative, the water supply line would continue to fail on a regular basis, but would be less disruptive than doing nothing. The Department would continue to bear the cost of repairing the water supply line, which will eventually fail. This alternative does not meet the Department's mission to protect natural and cultural resources and to provide high quality recreational opportunities.

Alternative 4: <u>Do nothing</u>. This alternative would not address problems with the current situation and is not sustainable over the long-term.

D. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION

Recommended Alternative and Why
 The recommended solution is Alternative 1: <u>Provide alternate water supply for southern half of the park</u>, as well as a treatment and delivery system to existing storage tanks, with Alternative 2 as a back-up in the event the preferred alternative is not feasible or cost-

effective. This alternative meets the needs of the park and has the benefit of removing the failing water supply line from Caltrans property and the median of Highway 101. Although the additional treatment system will require additional staff workload, eliminating the need for constant repairs and other operating costs is expected to more than offset the additional workload.

2. Detailed Scope Description

This project will provide an alternate water source in the southern half of the park, as well as a treatment and delivery system to existing storage tanks. Elements of the project include a well with pump, treatment system which meets current health department standards, a building to protect both the well and treatment system and a distribution pipeline to take the water to the existing storage tanks near the Beach Campground. If alternative 1 is found to be infeasible, alternative 2 will be pursued. This could occur, for example, if a suitable well location is not found. Alternative 2 replaces the existing water supply line in place. Alternate materials and improved designs would be investigated as well as measures that would protect the line from breakage due to freeway influences (vibration, etc.). The project would include traffic control necessary to perform work on the State Highway.

3. Basis for Cost Information

Public works contract costs have been estimated by the Department based on the detailed project scope description, schematics and outline specifications. The estimate is based on RSMeans cost data. Costs are then adjusted for general conditions of the contract, the contractor's overhead, profit and bonds/insurance. The estimate is then adjusted to the midpoint of the anticipated construction period at a rate of 0.42 percent per month to adjust for the effects of inflation.

Agency retained costs are based on the staff effort and associated operating expense required to accomplish the identified tasks. Agency retained costs are calculated based on approved salary rates as of January 2015.

4. Comparison to Least Expensive Alternative

The least expensive alternative would be to do no project. However, under this scenario, the water supply line would continue to fail on a regular basis, leaving the southern half of the park with limited water and ability to suppress fires. The park would also continue to bear the deferred maintenance cost of repairing the water supply line. Further, the "do nothing" alternative does not allow the Department to meet its mission to protect natural and cultural resources and to provide recreational opportunities.

5. Impact on Support Budget

Anticipated One-Time Costs:

No one-time costs are anticipated.

Anticipated Ongoing Costs:

The additional ongoing workload resulting from this project will necessitate the following changes to the Department's support budget:

Category	Annual Cost
<u>Staff</u>	
Permanent Staff –	

0.15 Water and Sewage Plant Supervisor	\$9,306
Seasonal Staff	\$0
Staff Benefits	\$4,002
Staff Total	\$13,308
Operating Expenses and Equipment	
Maintenance	\$6,000
Housekeeping	\$0
Refuse	\$0
Electrical Utilities	\$700
Water Utilities	\$0
Equipment	\$0
Total OE&E Cost	\$6,700
Total Annual Cost	\$20,008

Justification: Staff will be required to operate and maintain a new treatment plant.

The operating costs shown above are normal in nature. These costs will be necessary to maintain and operate the new facilities.

Anticipated Revenue Generation:

No revenue generation is anticipated. However, by protecting the park's water supply, this project will help preserve existing revenue-producing facilities such as the park campground and day use facilities, thus preventing revenue loss.

6. Project Risks/Secondary Effects

Risks/Secondary effects of this project may include:

• If Alternative 1 is pursued, the water supply to the Caltrans rest area may be affected. A new operating agreement may need to be negotiated with Caltrans should they want to continue their current level of water service.

7. Interagency Coordination

Coordination may be needed with various public agencies including:

- Caltrans
- California Coastal Commission
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Unites States Fish and Wildlife Service

8. Attendance History

Recent annual attendance is as follows:

	Year	Day-Use	Camping	Total
ſ	2009/10	58,508	17,067	75,575
	2010/11	50,692	18106	68798
	2011/12	64,278	17,576	81,854
	2012/13	61,610	16,807	78,417
	2013/14	67,941	16,783	84,724

9. Environmental Indicators

Chapter 664, Statutes of 2003 expresses legislative intent that departments within the Resources Agency use environmental indicators, where applicable, in the development of

budget proposals. The Environmental Protection Agency and the Resources Agency have jointly developed an initial set of Environmental Protection Indicators for California.

This project could result in improvements in the following indicators:

 Water Indicators – Water Supply and Use – Statewide Water Use and Per Capita Consumption (Type I): This project will provide an alternate water source in the southern half of the park, as well as a treatment and delivery system to existing storage tanks

E. CONSISTENCY WITH GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65041.1

1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure?

Yes

Explanation: The recommended alternative occurs in a State Park and promotes the infill development of this park by developing a water supply system for the southern portion of the park unit.

2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources?

Yes

Explanation: The project will provide a more reliable water supply for fire-fighting which will provide greater protection for the park's natural resources.

3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Yes

Explanation: The project is within a State Park and meets the planned development patterns of this park by ensuring there is a working water supply system in place to support current use of park and future usage.

F. JUSTIFICATION FOR AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS

This project will provide a consistent, reliable source of water for the southern half of Gaviota State Park. Several environmental permits could be required for this project, including a coastal development permit, Fish and Wildlife regulatory permits, and RWQCB regulatory permits. The environmental coordinator in coordination with natural resources staff will prepare and process these permits as required. Natural resources staff will also be involved to limit possible impacts to surrounding riparian habitats and associated species. Department cultural resources professional staff will be involved regarding the project's potential impacts, treatments or necessary mitigation measures on cultural resources according to the Department's process as defined in the Department's Memorandum of Agreement with the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) for PRC 5024.5.

G. PROPOSITION 84 - BOND ACCOUNTABILITY

This project will be funded from Proposition 84, (Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006) which provides \$400 million to the Department to achieve the following goals:

- (1) The restoration, rehabilitation and improvement of existing state park system lands and facilities.
- (2) The expansion of the state park system to reflect the growing population and shifting population centers and needs of the state.
- (3) The protection of representative natural resources based on the criteria and priorities identified in Public Resources Code Section 75071.

This proposal is consistent with those goals and that of the mission of the Department, the California State Parks Strategic Action Plan 2013-14 and the criteria under the Department's approved Bond Accountability process for the bond's implementation, in accordance with the Governor's Executive Order # S-02-07.

This project will be entered into the Proposition 84 website once authorized and status information on the website will be updated on a quarterly basis. The Department will follow all provisions of existing law related to project implementation.

At the completion of the project, follow-up accountability will be ensured through compliance with the Department's Proposition 84 Follow-Up Accountability Plan. Upon completion of each project, the Project Manager will send a memo to the Program Manager and the project file certifying:

- The amount spent on the project by fund source
- The completed project scope
- The completion date

The Program Manager will review the project close-out certification and the Proposition 84 website will be updated to reflect project completion. All departmental records will be retained according to the approved Department record retention schedule and applicable Proposition 84 bond records will remain available for 35 years for a more detailed audit if it is determined to be necessary.

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT CAPITAL OUTLAY COST ESTIMATE

UNIT: G

PROJECT:

Item:

Gaviota State Park

Main Water Supply Upgrades

DATE: BY: 6/19/2015

SL

DESCRIPTION

This project will develop water supply facilities for the southern portion of the Gaviota State Park to provide a consistent water supply for the public, staff, and fire suppression, to ensure the health and safety of park occupants, and avoid significant annual repair costs and intermittent water supply outages. The project includes a new well and water treatment facility or upgrading the existing water supply line, as appropriate.

ESTIMATE SUMMARY

REPLACE MAIN WATER SUPPLY LINE	1,002,000	
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS on June 13, 2013	CCCI 5796	1,002,000
Adjust CCCI from 5796 to 6069		47,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS on June 18, 2015	CCCI 6069	1,049,000
Escalate to Constr Start 29 months at 0.42%/mo		128,000
Escalate to Constr Midpoint 3 months at 0.42%/mo		13,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTS		1,190,000
Contingency @ 7%		83,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST		1,273,000
ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES		224,000
OTHER PROJECT COSTS		155,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTING AGENCY COSTS		1,652,000
AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS		227,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST		1,879,000

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT CAPITAL OUTLAY COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY OF COSTS BY PHASE

UNIT:

Gaviota State Park

PROJECT: Main Water Supply Upgrades

DATE:

6/19/2015

SL

BY:

CATEGORY	S	Р	w	С	E	TOTAL
PW CONTRACT COSTS						
	An and a second	andre de distribuir et de	Alaman da	1,190,000	Marketines Value	1,190,000
PW Contract PW Contingency		324	SELENCE I	83,000		83,000
SUBTOTAL PW CONSTRUCTION COST	terri in distinct	THE SECOND	STREET TO	1,273,000	ATRICAL COM	1,273,000
A & E SERVICES						
		FF 000	60,000	20.000	confidence to the description	135,000
A & E Design As-Built Drawings	-	55,000	60,000	20,000		135,000
Inspection Services				89,000		89,000
Other		-	-	-		-
SUBTOTAL A&E SERVICES	-	55,000	60,000	109,000	为代码 E.D.Minery	224,000
OTHER PROJECT COSTS						
Accessibility Review	经数据基	A Section of the	5,000	-	All mains	5,000
Construction Management	shalf-apricably to a car.	-	5,000	33,000	# 1,518#	38,000
Contract Administration	-	-	1,000	-		1,000
Estimating	-	2,000	4,000	-		6,000 15,000
Fees GIS	_	5,000	15,000	_		5,000
HAZMAT		3,000	_	_		
Office Administration	_	-	_	-		-
Other	-	-	- '	-	1.00	-
Permits	-	-	5,000	-	101.00	5,000
Project Management	-	24,000	24,000	12,000	7 (AL)	60,000
Public Communications	-	-] -	-		20,000
Specialty Consultants	-	20,000	_	-	Part A	20,000
Testing SUBTOTAL OTHER PROJECT COSTS		51,000	59,000	45,000	State Great	155,000
TOTAL CONTRACTING AGENCY COST	_	106,000	119,000	1,427,000	_	1,652,000
AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS						
ARI Consultant Contracts						
Cultural Resources		24,000	9,000	56,000		89,000
Environmental Review	-	44,000	6,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the second second	50,000
Equipment / Material	***********	t strangerska kompeter i Om stand			- 1000 to 1000 at 1000 at 1	ì ·
Furniture / Fixtures				S. S. Saline	-	-
General Plan	-	-	-	-	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-
Monitoring	-	-	-	-		-
Interpretation	-	44 000	- 000	30,000		- 88,000
Natural Resources Other		41,000	8,000	39,000	Basilian	88,000
Signs	1] [
Site Furnishings				_		
Site Surveys		-	-	<u> </u>		
TOTAL AGENCY RETAINED COSTS	•	109,000	23,000	95,000	•	227,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	-	215,000	142,000	1,522,000	•	1,879,000

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET	, CHAI	IGE PROPO	SAL (COBC	P)		\$4.0803	Proj ID:		t Year 2016-17 00694
FISCAL IMPACT WORKSHE		IOL 1 1101 O	OAL (OODO				FIOJID.	.3	3790
Department Title:		artment of Pa	irks and Recr	eation	salasing 2 sc		Aller Time south	BU/Entity: Progarm ID	2860
Project Title:								— 3.4.5°° i	3
								COBCP#:	3
The same field of the same field and the same f							Priority:		
Program Subcategory.	· USF	- Operationa	Existing	January 10	S April 4 Store	St. May 4 S	No. 11	MA/MI:	MA
			Authority	Action	April 1 Action	Action	May 14 Action	Special Action	Project Total
FUNDING									College And a
bu-ref-fund-eny-year	ph	action	015						
3790-301-6051-15-15	Р	BA	215				!	<u> </u>	215
3790-301-6051-16-16	W	ВА		142					142
3790-301-6051-17-17	C	FF		1,522				- 	1,522
3,00 00, 0001 11 11	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,,,,,,					1
	-								
	:				:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
	1								1:7:5
	T			i			1		1 6 6 0
							•	1	1
	I								1-5-6
	1								(
TOTAL FUNDIN			215	1,664		1.31%	0	0	1,879
PROJECT COS	STS								
Study]
Acquisition] (
Performance Criteria					!				
Preliminary Plans			215	i					_
Working Drawings			142	interest a construction of the	Constant Constant on the Constant of the Const	There is a March Solder		The state of the s	14:
Total Construction or Design-B	Build		1,520	2	0	ومدور کفلون کی دو	0	0	1,522
Equipment (Group 2)			4 077				-N		(
TOTAL COST			1,877	2	0		V	0	1,879
CONSTRUCTION							i		
DESIGN-BUILD D	EIAIL		4 407						4 400
Contract			1,187 84	-1			<u> </u>		1,190
Contingency A&E		A 6	109					.	83 109
			95						9:
Agency Retained Other	a area est. Formales		45						4:
TOTAL CONSTRUCT	TION	OR	40		<u>i</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Table 1	4;
DESIGN-BUIL			1,520	2	0	is and a	0	0	0 1,52
FUTURE FUND		. Jan	1,662	1	0	·	0 .		0 (
									-
SCHEDULE	•		mm/dd/yyyy				SPECIFIC CO	and the second second	
Study Completion				•	Proj Mgmt:	DPR	Location:	Gaviota SP	-
Acquisition Approval		Onland =	7/4/0045		Budg Pack:	N	County:	Santa Barbara	<u></u>
Start Preliminary Plans/Perform			7/1/2015	_	Proj Cat:	CRI	City:	Goleta	
Preliminary Plan/Performance	Criter	a Approval	6/15/2016		Req Legis:	N	Cong Dist:	24	_
Approval to Proceed to Bid			6/15/2017		Req Prov:	Y	Sen Dist:	19	_ · `-
Contract Award Approval			9/15/2017		SO/LA Imp:	Y	Assm Dist:	37	_
Project Completion			12/31/2018						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	5	Year 2016-17 00694
		3790
FISCAL DETAIL WORKSHEET	BU/Entity: Progarm ID	2860
Department Title: Department of Parks and Recreation	COBCP#:	3
Project Title: Gaviota SP: Main Water Supply Upgrades		3
Program Category: CRI - Critical Infrastructure Deficiencies - Existing	Priority:	MA MA
Program Subcategory: OSF - Operational Support Facilities	MA/MI:	<u> </u>
Identify all items which fit into the categories listed below. Attach a detailed list if funding is included in t summary estimates for items for which you plan to request funding in the future. When possible, identify through BY+4).	y funding needs by fisca	il year (BY+1
PROJECT RELATED COSTS	COST	TOTAL
AGENCY RETAINED:	en de la companya de La companya de la co	
Environmental Review (Preliminary Plans : 44; Working Drawings: 6; Construction: 0)	50	The second of th
Cultural Resources (Preliminary Plans : 24; Working Drawings: 9; Construction: 56)	89	
Natural Resources (Preliminary Plans : 41; Working Drawings: 8; Construction: 40)	89	
TOTAL AGENCY	RETAINED	228
GROUP 2 EQUIPMENT		
TOTAL GROUP2	EQUIPMENT	
IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET	COST	TOTAL
ONE-TIME COSTS		
TOTAL SUPPORT C	NE-TIME COSTS	0
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE COSTS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Permanent Staff	1:	3
Maintenance	(3
Utilities		1
TOTAL SUPPORT A	ANNUAL COSTS	20
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE SAVINGS		
Repairs	51	0
TOTAL SUPPORT A	NNIIAI SAVINGS	50
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1 30
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTURE REVENUE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
TOTAL SUPPORT A	NNIAL REVENUE	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		Budget '	Year 2016-17
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)	Proj ID:	0000694	
SCOPE/ASSUMPTIONS WORKSHEET	115	BU/Entity:	3790
Department Title: Department of Parks and Recreation		Progarm ID	2860
Project Title: Gaviota SP: Main Water Supply Upgrades		COBCP#:	3
Program Category: CRI - Critical Infrastructure Deficiencies - Existing		Priority:	3
Program Subcategory: OSF - Operational Support Facilities		MA/MI:	MA
	2 3547 4 3		

Project Specific Proposals: For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope language. Enter Scope language in cell A110.

Conceptual Proposals: Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in relation to outstanding need identified for that fiscal year. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 in cell A110).

This project will develop water supply facilities for the southern portion of the Gaviota State Park to provide a consistent water supply for the public, staff, and fire suppression, to ensure the health and safety of park occupants and avoid significant annual repair costs and intermittent water supply outages. The project includes a new well and water treatment facility or upgrading the existing water supply line, as appropriate.